

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/09/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/553,967	04/21/2000	Sai V. Allavarpu	5181-48700	6558	
7590 03/09/2004			EXAMINER		
Robert C Kowert			TRAN, TONGOC		
Conley Rose &	Tayon PC				
P O Box 398	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Austin, TX 78	767	2134			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

7

Office Action Summary		Application I	No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/553,967		ALLAVARPU ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Tongoc Tran		2134					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a round for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reformed to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the mained patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, he statutory od will apply and will exputed the cause the application.	nowever, may a reply be tim minimum of thirty (30) days bire SIX (6) MONTHS from on to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commu D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	Inication.				
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21	April 2000.							
		his action is non-	final.						
3)□	,								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-52</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with definition claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-52</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consid							
Applicat	ion Papers								
10)	The specification is objected to by the Exami The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) _ a Applicant may not request that any objection to th Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) he drawing(s) be hection is required i	eld in abeyance. Seef the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1	` '				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notice 3) Infor	et(s) See of References Cited (PTO-892) See of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) See of Draftsperson's Patent (s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Cer No(s)/Mail Date 2.5.6.	08) 5)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other:		?)				

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to applicants' application no. 09/953967 filed on 4/21/2000.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 1/31/2002, 11/13/2003 and 11/18/2003 has been considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7, 9-13, 15-24, 26-31, 33-41, 43-49, and 51-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hu (U.S. Patent No. 5,586,260).

In respect to claim 1, Hu discloses a network management system comprising:

a client-side authentication library deployed on one or more client computer systems, wherein the client-side authentication library comprises a client side interface which is operable to retrieve and encrypt a user profile associated with a user, and wherein the client-side library is implemented for one or more client platforms respectively corresponding to each of the one or more client computer systems (see col. 2. lines 1-19 and col. 5, lines 4-19); and

a server-side authentication library deployed on a server computer system coupled to the client computer system, wherein the server-side authentication library comprises a server-side interface which is operable to receive the encrypted user profile from the client-side authentication library and

decrypt the user profile to authenticate the user for one or more network services, and wherein the server-side library is implemented for a server platform corresponding to the server computer system (see 4, line 59-col. 5, line 19).

Hu does not explicitly disclose the client-side and server-side libraries are implemented in accordance with a platform-independent interface specification. However, using platform-independent interface specification is old and well known. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate this feature with Hu's authentication system in a distributed network where different objects (users or resources) across the network with different platforms can interact with each other.

In respect to claim 2, Hu discloses the network management system of claim 1, wherein the client-side authentication library is shared by a plurality of management applications (see col. 5, lines 4-19).

In respect to claim 3, Hu discloses the network management system of claim 1, wherein the server-side authentication library is shared by a plurality of gateway components (see col. 5, lines 4-19).

In respect to claim 4, Hu disclose the network management system of claim 1. Hu does not explicitly disclose wherein the server-side authentication library is implemented in C++. However, implementing C++ programming language in server-side is old and well known. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize C++ for the server because of the language's object-oriented features and its stability.

In respect to claim 5, Hu discloses the network management system of claim 1, wherein the user profile comprises a user name and a password (see col. 4, lines 28-32).

In respect to claim 6, Hu discloses the network management system of claim 5, wherein the user profile further comprises a designation of a management information server (MIS) to which the user wishes to connect (see col. 1, lines 16-19).

In respect to claims 7 and 9, Hu discloses the network management system of claim 1. Hu does not disclose wherein the platform-independent interface specification comprises a specification expressed in an interface definition language (IDL), wherein the interface definition language is operable to define object interfaces across a plurality of platforms and across a plurality of programming languages; wherein the client-side authentication library and the server-side authentication library are operable to authenticate requests received by a CORBA gateway, wherein the requests comprise management requests to one or more managed objects, and wherein the management requests are sent by one or more manager applications. However, Implementing CORBA and IDL in a distributed network environment are old and well known. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Hu's authentication system between a client and a server in a distributed networking environment with CORBA and IDL for its supports for interacting with distributed objects across the network regardless of where or what platform the objects are located.

In respect to claims 10 and 11, Hu discloses a network management system comprising:
a gateway which is coupled to one or more managers, wherein the gateway is
configured to provide network management services to the one or more
managers; and one or more pluggable authentication modules which are operable to provide
authentication of a manager based upon a user profile, wherein the user

Application/Control Number: 09/553,967

Art Unit: 2134

profile corresponds to a user of one of the managers, and wherein the one or more pluggable authentication modules are accessible by the gateway and the one or more managers; and wherein the gateway is configurable to authenticate the user to receive the network management services using the pluggable authentication modules (see col. 2, lines 1-19 and col. 4, line 59-col. 5, line 19).

Hu does not explicitly disclose the authentication modules are implemented in accordance with a platform-independent interface specification. However, using platform-independent interface specification is old and well known. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate this feature with Hu's authentication system in a distributed network where different objects (users or resources) across the network with different platforms can interact with each other.

In respect to claims 12-13 and 15-17, the claim limitations are substantially similar to claims 1, 5-7 and 9. Therefore, claims 12-3, and 15-17 are rejected based on the similar rationale.

In respect to claims 18-24 and 26, the claim limitations are method claims that are substantially similar to system claims 1-7 and 9. Therefore, claims 18-26 are rejected based on the similar rationale.

In respect to claims 27-31 and 33-34, the claim limitations are method claims that are substantially similar to system claims 10-13, 15-17. Therefore, claims 27-31 and 33-34 are rejected based on the similar rationale.

In respect to claims 35-41 and 43, the claim limitations are computer programmable medium claims that are substantially similar to system claims 1-7 and 9. Therefore, claims 35-41 and 43 are rejected based on the similar rationale.

In respect to claims 44-49 and 51-52, the claim limitations are computer programmable medium claims that are substantially similar to system claims 10-13 and 15-17. Therefore, claims 44-49 and 51-52 are rejected based on the similar rationale.

Application/Control Number: 09/553,967

Art Unit: 2134

4. Claims 8, 14, 25, 32, 42 and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hu (U.S. Patent No. 5,586,260) in view of Thompson (U.S. Patent No. 6,622,050).

In respect to claim 8, Hu discloses the network management system of claim 1. Hu does not explicitly disclose wherein the user profile is encrypted and decrypted according to a user-selected encryption scheme. However, Thompson discloses encryption scheme is selected according to user's preference (see col. 4, lines 4-20). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Hu's encrypting user profile with Thompson's user-selected encryption scheme according to the different types of data or user's preference (Thompson, col. 4, lines 4-12).

In respect to claims 14, 25, 32, 42 and 50, the claim limitations are substantially similar to claim 8. Therefore claims 14, 25, 32, 42 and 50 are rejected based on the similar rationale.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - -Raduchel et al. Disclose a network-based authentication of computer user.
- -Grantges, Jr. discloses a secure gateway having user identification and password authentication.
 - -Garrison discloses a system and method for restricting unauthorized access to a database.
- -Morgan et al. Disclose a security method and system for persistent storage and communication on compute network system and computer network system employing the same.
 - -Blanco et al. Disclose a network access authentication system.

Art Unit: 2134

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tongoc Tran whose telephone number is (703) 305-7690. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory A. Morse can be reached on (703) 308-4789. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Examiner: Tongoc Tran

Art Unit: 2134

TT

March 5, 2004

MATTHEW SMITHERS
PRIMARY EXAMINER
A. + Unit 2/37